

Appendix H H1 Bus Stop Review Methodology

H2 Bus Stop Review Analysis



Appendix H1 Bus Stop Review Methodology



Bus Stop Review Methodology (REV 3)

Project name Bus Connects Core Bus Corridor **Date** 21 June 2020 Prepared by Joe Seymour - AECOM

1.0 Introduction

The location and design of bus stops will be critical to the success of the operation of BusConnects Dublin. Bus stop catchment areas and safety will need to be maximised, the size of the stop needs to be sufficient to meet the expected passenger and bus demand, and the bus stop itself must not become a bottle neck to the operation of the corridor. This methodology outlines how each corridor shall be assessed so as the location and operation of bus stops can be optimised.

This Note does not relate to the physical layout of the bus stops which is addressed in Chapter 11 of the Preliminary Design Guidance Booklet, although spatial considerations are discussed in section 5.4. Standard details for bus stop layouts are to be included in the next draft of the Design Guidance Booklet.

It is important to note that existing bus stops located along the Core Bus Corridors will have been subject to considerable thought by Bus Operators, An Garda Siochana, and the Local Authority. For this reason, it is imperative that each location is closely examined before it is considered for relocation or removal.

For avoidance of doubt this manual assumes the standard bus is a twin axle double decker bus (10 to 11m in length) with a front and middle doors. Other vehicles, such as 3-axle double decker, are in use by Dublin Bus and should be considered when undertaking the Geometric Design.



Figure 1.2 Standard Bus being used on the CBC's.



Figure 1.3 Standard Transport for Ireland Bus Specifications.

Considerations for Bus Stop Locations

The basic criteria for consideration when locating a bus stop:

- Driver and waiting passengers are clearly visible to each other;
- Located close to key local facilities;
- Located close to main junctions without affecting road safety or junction operation;
- Located to minimise walking distance between interchange stops;
- Where there is space for a bus shelter;
- Located in pairs, 'Tail to tail' on opposite sides of the road;
- Close to (and on exit side of) pedestrian crossings;
- Away from sites likely to be obstructed; and
- Adequate footway width.

Principals of Bus Stop on high capacity Bus Systems.

The Core Bus Network Report (2015) noted that the distances between bus stops influences the efficiency of the bus network. In general, the lower the distances between stops along a corridor, the higher the delay that is incurred for buses. This delay is caused through acceleration and deceleration and delays associated with pulling in and out of bus stops with some estimates suggesting that stopping at bus stops makes up in excess of 20% of the journey times along the QBC corridors. International literature on bus stop spacing recommends a distance of 300 to 500m (NTA Report on Core Bus Network Infrastructure Network, February 2015) between stops in suburban areas is optimum, whereas in Dublin many routes have bus stops located at far lower spacing. The Core Bus Network Report concluded that increasing spacing between bus stops was part of the solution to reduce delays along the corridors.

The following indicates where delay materialises when accessing bus stops.



Table 1.1 Sources of Bus Delay associated with Bus Stops (TCQoSM, TRB)

| 2 Bus stop failure | |
|---|-----|
| Waiting for other buses to clear the stop | |
| 3 Boarding lost time | |
| Waiting for passengers to reach the bus | ••• |
| 4 Passenger service time (dwell time) | |
| Opening the doors, boarding and alighting passengers, and closing the doors | • |
| 5 Traffic signal (traffic control) delay | |
| Waiting for the signal to turn green, or other traffic control delay | |
| 6 Re-entry delay | |
| Waiting for a gap in traffic | |
| 7 Acceleration | |
| Time spent getting back up to speed | |

Boarding of passengers, layout of stations are not being examined as they are either not relevant in this case or dealt with elsewhere as part of the overall BusConnects Programme.

The acceleration and deceleration will be similar at all stops and clearly the overall impact is dependent on the number of bus stops along a route; this will be dealt with by examining the number of bus stops along a corridor.

Bus Stop failure is linked to the amount of time buses are stopped and the frequency of buses along the route and has a significant impact on the overall corridor capacity and efficiency, particularly where non stopping buses are present (Express or Regional Buses). A situation where a bus arrives at a bus stop to find all loading areas full:

- The bus must wait until space becomes available;
- Slows down the bus and creates schedule reliability issues; and
- Delay can also increase further as bus bunching occurs and bus dwell and traffic control delay times will increase.

The proximity of a bus stop to signalised junctions has an impact on bus speeds with far-side stops having the least negative impact on speed and capacity, and also favored as passengers cross the road behind the bus which increases safety.



Figure 1.4 Typical Location of Bus Stops.

Ability to overtake slower buses is an important parameter where the route is made up of both express (rarely stopping) and slower (stopping at all stops) buses. For example, on the N11 QBC lay-bys (or passing lanes) were introduced after the original QBC was built to increase the capacity and allow express buses to pass the slower vehicles. On some of the BusConnects schemes this will need to be considered particularly on those routes that include regional and intercity services.



Figure 1.5 Stillorgan QBC with high bus flows and no bus laybys resulted in bus bunching/ platooning; bus lay-by's provided at key locations to allow express buses to pass slower buses. (Source: Google Maps)



Figure 1.6 A typical bus lay-by adjacent to a bus lane; note concrete surface for additional durability.

Consideration should also be given to locations where coaches stop along the Corridors, particularly those serving the airport which could require longer dwell time to allow passengers to load/unload their luggage. In these cases, a layby separate to the CBC Bus Stop maybe desirable (Figure 1.7).



Figure 1.7 Double Bus Stop (in-line for BusConnects routes) concept for locations with buses requiring different dwell times.



Figure 1.8 Multiple bus operators may be using bus stops along the Corridors.

In general, most bus stops along corridors will be in-line (bus stops within the bus lane), as a result re-entry delays will not impact the operation of buses. However, on busier corridors where lay-bys are used re-entry may delay buses. ED's need to consider the flow of buses and taxis passing layby's, and where there is increased risk of delay additional measures may be required to generate gaps in traffic (far-side) or the installation of a yellow box to allow buses to renter the traffic queue (near-side).

Pedestrian accessibility

Another important aspect of bus stop positioning is proximity to pedestrian crossings. Failure to provide high quality pedestrian facilities on the pedestrian desire line may lead to a higher accident risk associated with a bus stop. Therefore, designers need to consider how passengers are going to cross the road to get access to the stop, in general this will require bus stops to be located close to safe crossing points.

2.0 Methodology

This section outlines the process for examining each BusConnects Corridor and assessing and reporting on the bus stops along each route. The flow chart summarises the process and this is followed by a more detailed description of the tasks to be undertaken.



Figure 2.1 Flow Chart for proposed Bus Stop Review.

3.0 Background Information

In order to undertake the review of the bus stops along each corridor background information must be gathered. The following section outlines this information and how to obtain it.

| ltem | Description | Location/Contact |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Bus Stop Number | Bus Stop Numbers can be obtained from a number of online sources. | https://www.transportforir eland.ie/plan-a-journey/ |
| Existing Bus Stop | Estimated boarding and alighting figures are available from NTA Business Intelligence Unit. | NTA Business Intelligence Unit |
| Demand | Using Leap Card Data and Machine Learning the NTA has recently developed a tool for estimating where passengers are alighting buses along each route. The format that this will be available in is currently under development. | |
| | This information can include details on use of Free Travel Pass which may help in identifying locations which are a higher priority for the elderly and those with accessibility issues. | |
| Proposed Bus Stop Demand | Obtain future passenger demand for each corridor, this will come from the ERM. This will not be linked to specific bus stops, but zonal. The bus stop demand will then be linked to bus stops by using the existing bus stop data and factoring up existing boarding and alighting figures. | TIAR Consultant |
| Proposed Bus Numbers | The number of buses on each corridor is available from the BusConnects Network Redesign Team. This information has already been issued to each ED. It is the ED's responsibility to confirm that these figures are correct at this time. | Confirm that the numbers provided are the revised network data. |
| Navteq Mapping | The GIS Mapping is required to understand permeability in the area surrounding bus stops. NTA has this information and will provide it to each ED. Note that this base data will need to be reviewed thoroughly as from experience there will be many permeability routes that are missing. | NTA to issue mapping to all teams. |

Table 3.1 Information to be gathered to undertake the Bus Stop Review

4.0 Bus Stop Catchment Analysis

Bus stop passenger catchment areas are critically important to the success of a high-quality bus corridor. The catchment at each bus stop needs to be maximised so as each stopping movement collects sufficient passengers to justify the loss in journey speed; a bus stopping at each bus stop to pick up one passenger will result in a very slow journey time, the ideal scenario is to stop less often and collect more passengers at each stop. Clearly too few bus stops could also be detrimental to the success of the scheme. To assess if bus stops are optimally spaced to maximise the passenger catchment area it is recommended that a catchment analysis using the NTA Navteq data(or similar process) is undertaken.



Figure 4.1 Passenger catchment analysis for a bus stop indicating the existing and possible catchment areas assuming permeability improvements can be undertaken.

Figure 4.1 indicates the area that is within a standard walking distance of a bus stop (400m for BusConnects CBC's) based on the actual walking distance rather than "as crow flies" analysis which can be misleading particularly where there are long sections of blank, inaccessible, wall along

corridors. The number of people living within this area can be obtained from GeoDirectory data. In addition, permeability solutions can be identified and the impact of making these changes can be quickly assessed in terms of increased catchment area. The process of undertaking this analysis is outlined below:

Task 1: Enhancing the Navteq network using OpenStreetMap to add footpaths, greenways, cut throughs which are accessible to most people, paths over greens or parks, etc., this is required as the network supplied by the NTA is a primarily a driving network not a pedestrian network.

To do this you will add walk links extracted from OpenStreetMap's data clearly coding these into the Navteq supplied by the NTA. Google Streetview should be used as a check to ensure any link added to the Navteq exist on the ground and are accessible to all. Informal walk links should not be added at this stage.





Figure 4.2 Example of permeability link missing from Navteq mapping on Tallaght/Clondalkin Cor Bus Corridor.

Task 2: Once the Navteq has been enhanced to the required level to capture all major pedestrian movement within bus stop catchment areas, catchment analysis shall be run for the proposed and existing bus stops. Using the Network Analyst Extension in ArcGIS generating 400m and 800m walking bands to reflect 5 and 10-minute walking catchments of bus stops.





Task 3: Production of catchment tables identifying number of households using Geo Directory or population estimate using census 2016 and Geo Directory to apportion sections of Census Small Area within 400m and 800m catchments of each bus stop. Catchments will be non-overlapping to avoid double counting between stops along the same alignment.

Task 4: Maps will be generated for each stop along each of the alignment, or stops can be grouped together to reflect particular study areas. Maps can be generated in any particular format to match the theme of previous reports (EPR Reports).

Task 5: Quality Assurance and Checking of catchments is critical as missing, or additional, links will be easily identified by the public and could discredit the analysis if there are errors.

Having developed a detailed understanding of the catchment areas consideration should then be given to how the catchments can be widened through identification of permeability opportunities along the corridors. Permeability describes the extent to which an urban area permits the movement of people by walking or cycling. Such an approach is known as "filtered permeability". Barriers to filtered permeability can include:

- Boundary walls around estates and within residential areas that prevent movement along natural desire lines, being usually the shortest and most direct route connecting two points;
- Cul-de-sacs which prohibit through movement;
- Poorly designed linkages that are difficult or unattractive to use; and
- Connections which require much longer travel distances than direct linkages.

The NTA Permeability Best Practise Guide should be followed for the identification and assessment of these opportunities. Careful consideration should be given to whether or not these proposals should form part of the Bus Connects scheme or if they should be identified to the Local Authority for actioning. Only those linkages that are directly linked to the corridor should be considered as part of this application.

An example from the Clongriffin to City Centre CBC can be seen in Figure 4.4 where a very large housing estate which is located immediately adjacent to the proposed bus corridor has a continuous boundary wall that runs for over 800m preventing easy access to the bus routes and requiring a walk of almost



1km to access the bus routes. Opening a pedestrian access on the boundary wall could create a much shorter route to the buses and substantially increase the bus passenger catchment area.



Figure 4.4 Permeability option on the Malahide Road (Source: Google Maps).



Figure 4.5 Boundary wall along Malahide Road (Corridor 1) where local residents have opened up individual doors to access the existing QBC route.

5.0 Review Bus Stop Locations

5.1 Public Consultation Feedback.

An important aspect of the bus stop review is to review feedback received from the general public in relation to the position of an existing, or proposed, bus stop along the corridor. This may identify a specific issue that the reviewer should be aware of before beginning the review. For example, the relocation of a bus stop away from a destination for people with mobility impairments may not have been identified during the preliminary design process and should now be considered. It is also important to review these comments against commitments that may have been given during the "one to one" meetings held during the initial, and subsequent, consultation stages.

Please note that some bus stops were relocated after the EPR public consultation as a result of public consultation comments, if a bus stop is being considered for relocation please also check whether it had been relocated previously by checking the EPR drawings and discussing with the NTA IPO.

5.2 Usage of Bus Stops.

In order to help the reviewer, understand the passenger movements at a bus stop it is recommended that the existing Boarding and Alighting Data is reviewed at this early stage and is used as an approxi for future passenger movements. This will provide an indication of the numbers using a bus stop in an area and would indicate the number of pedestrians movements having to be catered for. It will also indicate those bus stop locations that are relatively lightly used and could be considered for amalgamation with a nearby bus stop, relocation to a more convenient location, or removal completely.

5.3 Spacing of Bus Stops.

The spacing of bus stops has a significant impact on the average speed of a bus corridor, clearly the more times a bus stops the slower the overall journey time will be. A bus incurs a minimum of 15 seconds delay with each stop on an urban street just to decelerate, open and close the bus doors, and accelerate back to speed (25 seconds on a busway). Table 5.1 uses information extracted from the Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual (TRB) and indicates the estimated average speed on an 80kph busway. This clearly indicates that bus stop spacing, and dwell time have a large impact on average speed on bus corridors.

| | Average Dwell Time (s) | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| Average Stop Spacing (km) | 0 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 |
| 0.8 | 50 | 37 | 32 | 27 | 24 |
| 1.6 | 61 | 51 | 45 | 40 | 37 |
| 2.4 | 68 | 58 | 53 | 48 | 45 |

 Table 5.1 Average Bus Speed (km/h) in Bus Priority Corridors, 80km/h running speed.

For BusConnects it is proposed that bus stops should be spaced approximately **400m** apart on typical suburban sections of the route, dropping to approximately **250m** in urban centres (CIHT Buses in Urban Developments, January 2018). This spacing should be seen as a recommended spacing rather than an absolute minimum spacing.

The ability to increase stop spacing depends in part on the quality of the pedestrian connectivity in the area and also the availability of safe crossing points in the vicinity of the proposed bus stop. It may also depend on the characteristics of the passengers using the stop, e.g. persons with limited mobility may find it difficult to walk to the next stop. It is therefore recommended that for locations that may generate high number of elderly or mobility impaired bus passengers (health facilities, local businesses) consideration should be given to locating the bus stop within **100m** of the location if spatial considerations permit.

5.4 Spatial considerations for geometric layout.

The provision of high-quality bus stop infrastructure that is customer orientated is considered an essential part of the BusConnects offering, including:

- Being fully accessible for all bus passengers;
- Having a bus shelter for waiting passengers;
- Having both timetable and real time passenger information (RTPI) available to passengers;
- Having sufficient footpath space to allow the free movement of pedestrians passed the bus stop;
- Continuous cycle lane past the bus stop; and
- Provision of Cycle Parking at, or close to, the bus stop.

All of which requires significant space along the already congested radial routes that the Core Bus Corridors run along. Therefore, an important aspect of locating bus stops is identifying locations that have sufficient space to accommodate all, or most, of these elements.

The BusConnects Design Guide suggests that an Island Bus Stop (Figure 34) is the preferred bus stop option to be used as standard on the CBC project where space constraints allow. The **minimum footpath width within which an island bus stop can be implemented is 5.4m** (1.8m footpath + 1.2m cycle track + 2.4m island with shelter). This option assumes a shelter with half bay end panels. Should full panels (as seen on Figure 5.2) be required the width requirement will increase to approximately 6.3m.



Figure 5.1 Typical Island Bus Stop Arrangement (Bus Connects Design Guideline).



Figure 5.2 Standard 3 Bay Reliance Mark Shelter with full width advertising panel.



Figure 5.3 Standard layout for a 3 Bay Reliance Mark Shelter with full width advertising panel and cycle lane to the rear (note cycle lane width is to be determined by designers).

For locations where space is constrained an option consisting of a shared bus stop landing zone can be considered. This option is indicated in Figure 5.4 and should only be considered on a case-by-case basis to ensure suitability with particular attention paid to the volume of cyclists and volumes of boarding and alighting passengers. Using the narrowest non-standard bus shelter this would require a minimum width of approximately 4.0m (1.9m footpath with shelter + 1.2m cycle track + 0.75m island).



Figure 5.4 Shared Bus Stop Landing Zone Arrangement (Bus Connects Design Guideline).



Figure 5.5 Cantilever narrow roof Bus Shelter

It is important that ED's do not immediately choose the minimum sized shelter as this will impact on the weather protection provided to bus passengers and potentially advertising revenue share received by the NTA. Where there are a substantial number of bus stops using the nonstandard bus shelter it is recommended that the NTA IPO are consulted prior to finalising the proposals.

Providing cycle parking at bus stops has the potential to increase the catchment area of a bus corridor by providing a safe place for cyclists to secure their bike for the duration of their trip. ED's should look to provide cycle parking at all bus stops along the BusConnects Corridors where space permits. The **minimum provision is 3 Sheffield Stands** (accommodating 6 bicycles) in the vicinity of a bus stop. Where larger numbers of cyclists can be expected consideration should be given to providing a larger covered area of approximately 10 Sheffield Stands (accommodating 20 bicycles).



Figure 5.6 Sheffield Bicycle Stands provided at a Bus Stop on the N11.



Figure 5.7 Covered Sheffield Bicycle Stands provided at a Bus Stop on the N11.

5.4 Distance from controlled pedestrian crossing.

Pedestrians by their nature often take the quickest route to their destination rather than the safest route, particularly if they feel the safety risk is low. This results in bus passengers leaving buses stepping out in front of, or behind, buses and crossing the road in a hazardous manner. The placement of bus stops near safe pedestrian crossing points is therefore a critical aspect of bus stop design. Providing a bus stop where there is no, or an indirect, pedestrian crossing will lead to "jaywalking" and pedestrians making higher risk movements.

There are many examples of bus stop located immediately outside a pedestrian opening into a housing estate which makes it easy for passengers to access the bus stop in the morning, however on the return journey the passenger can often be isolated on the other side of the road with no safe crossing point available. While this may be satisfactory on some roads, it may not be on others, and how is a person with a mobility impairment to cross a busy radial route? All bus stops along the CBC's should be located within a short distance of a controlled crossing point.

The optimum location to locate a bus stop is adjacent to junctions which have signalised pedestrian crossings provided on all desire lines. Much research has been undertaken in relation to the optimum location for a bus stop adjacent to a junction, either before (near-side) or after a junction (far-side), while there are advantages and disadvantages of both, all guidance recommends that locating the bus stop on the **far-side of a junction is the optimum solution**. While this may be theoptimum location in terms of the operation of a corridor a near-side bus stop may still be appropriatewhen spatial constraints, routing, or distance from junction are considered.

Figure 5.8 indicates various locations for bus stops at junctions with particular consideration for interchange between Spine and Orbital Core Bus Corridors. This indicates that all options which require passengers to interchange will require passengers to cross at least one arm of a junction (on average over both legs of their journey), emphasizing the importance of locating bus stops at junctions and providing controlled crossings on all desire lines between interchanging bus stops.



Figure 5.8 Bus stop locations and passenger interchange routes between them.

The DfT document Inclusive Mobility (2005) suggests recommended distance limits without rest for various Mobility Impaired Groups that ranges from 50 to 150m, which limits the distance between interchanging bus stops significantly. It is therefore recommended that the distance between the key interchange bus stops is limited to approximately **100m walking distance** where possible to enable all impaired groups to be able to interchange, consideration must be given to providing a rest spots at approximately 50m between the bus stops to cater for those that will not make this distance without a rest.



Figure 5.9 Pedestrians using sticks have a limited range of 50m before needing a rest.

For mid-block (between junctions) bus stops it is important that consideration is given to the location of a safe crossing point. It is recommended that a signalised crossing is located in close proximity to these stops to allow all passengers to cross the road safely. It is also recommended that bus stops are positioned upstream of this crossing to avoid buses blocking visibility to the crossing and that passengers walk to the back of the bus where they are more visible to oncoming traffic.





5.5 Impact on Adjacent Junction.

Locating bus stops close to junctions is optimum for pedestrian connectivity and safety, however it clearly can impact on the capacity of a junction and may result in increased congestion. Designers will need to review the location of the bus stops in order to minimise the impact on the operation and capacity of the junctions; things to consider include:

- Distance from the far-side bus stop to the junction. Buses will be running at headways of approximately 2 minutes at peaks on some corridors, while every effort will be made to avoid bunching it is likely that buses will end up meeting each other as they wait for a green signal. As a result, it is important that sufficient space for a bus to wait behind a stopped bus is provided at all junctions. Importantly this offset should start beyond the pedestrian crossing point in order to avoid blocking the crossing. Table 2.2 provides guidance on offset distance from key features.
- For near-side bus stops it is important that the location is reviewed in the context of visibility to the traffic signals for general traffic (bus, or the bus stop infrastructure, impacting on visibility to primary traffic signals) and also interaction with left turning traffic. Reference DMRB DN-GEO-03044 and DTTaS Traffic Signs Manual Chapter 9.
- Where a bus is joining a Spine from a side road it is important that the bus stops are fully accessible by the turning vehicle and sufficient space is provided to allow the bus to pull in flush with the bus stop so as the gap between the kerb and the bus is minimised (both doors). It is also important to ensure that the manoeuvring bus does not require the bus to sweep over the kerb line.



Figure 5.11 Tracking of a turning bus entering a bus stop.





Figure 5.12 Having buses flush with the bus stop is important to allow the ramp to lower correctly, but also to speed up the boarding and alighting of all passengers as gaps slow this down.

| Table 5.2 | Indicative | Distances | of Features | from Bus | Stops |
|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|
|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|

| Feature | Distance (m) to bus stop sign |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Prior to isolated pedestrian crossing signals or | 18m |
| Zebra | |
| After pedestrian crossing signals or Zebra | 10m + bus length* |
| Prior to signalised junction | 20-30m |
| After signalised junction | 20m + bus length* |
| Prior to or after a side road | 20m |
| After a side road | 10m + bus length* |
| Prior to a roundabout (no diverge) | 20-30m |
| After a roundabout (no merge) | 20m + bus length* |

(DRAFT NTA Bus Stop Design Guidance)

*the bus length should be the longest bus using the stop

6.0 Review Locations relative to Revised Bus Network

The revised BusConnects Network is based on the Connective Network Principle which will rely on some interchange between routes to reduce journey times across the City. This Interchange will primarily occur in the City Centre where the spines overlap rather than along the Spines. However, some interchange will occur between the High Frequency Spines and the Frequent Orbital routes and also between the routes before Branches peel off the spine. Seamless interchange between these bus routes will be critical for the successful operation of this system.



Figure 6.1 Simplified diagram of spines and frequent orbitals in the proposed network

The latest maps need to be obtained by each ED from the NTA IPO. In addition, the ED's can make use of the NTA's Remix system, which is an on-line route and stop information system for the proposed bus network.

6.1 Buses entering and exiting the Spine.

For buses entering and exiting the Spine, consideration should be given to how passengers may switch from one branch to another branch route. While this can happen anywhere along the Spine it will most regularly occur at the last stop before the branch route peels off the Spine. An existing example of this can be seen at Foxrock Church where two high frequency routes (46A/145) deviate at this point. At the last stop before the 46A deviates to Kill Avenue significant numbers switch from one route to the other.



Figure 6.2 Foxrock Church Bus Stop on the N11 QBC

For the Core Bus Corridors consideration should be given to the size and location of the stops before branch routes leave the main Spine. The optimum location of stops at this location will allow all routes to overlap prior to the junction thus removing the necessity for passengers to walk to anotherbus stop.



Figure 6.3 Location of Bus Stops Immediately before Branch Route Peels Off Spine

6.2 Interchange between Radial and Orbital routes.

The movement of passengers from one corridor to another is critically important to make Dublin more accessible by public transport. Making this interchange as easy as possible is thus critical to the successful delivery of the BusConnects Programme. Figure 3.4 indicates two typical scenarios that will arise on this project; the crossing movement (D/N4) and the overlapping movement (D/N2).



Figure 6.4 Two Different Scenarios for Interchange between orbital and radial corridors.

The optimum solution, but the less likely one, is the overlapping of routes which will allow passengers to leave one route and access another one via the same bus stop (or the opposite pair) making it a very easy interchange. For this option it is important that the designer considers the location of bus stops in a similar manner to the previous section on peeling off of branch lines.

For the more common crossing of routes the location of the bus stops needs to be carefully considered to minimise the distance passengers have to walk and to ensure there is a safe crossing location to facilitate this movements. This was outlined in section 5.4. For locations where interchange is expected it is recommended that the desirable maximum distance between the interchanging bus stops is 100m, with rest stops provided at 50m for those with impairments that restrict the maximum walking distance to below 100m.

7.0 Bus Stop Capacity

The capacity of bus stops is a complex and dependent on many variables which may constantly vary throughout a typical peak hour. For this reason it is proposed to undertake a high level assessment of bus stop capacity at this time and a more detailed assessment at a later stage when the Microsimulation Models are available for each corridor which can include the interaction between junctions and bus stops (potential bunching of buses), taxi numbers on the corridor, and the number of express or stopping coaches. Information on the calculation of capacities is available in the TRB, Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual, 3rd Edition and for complex locations it is recommended that the designer review applicable sections of this document to gain an understanding of the critical parameters.

7.1 Number of Bus Bays

The TFL Bus Stop Design Guidance states that bus stop capacity is a function of bus length, service frequency, the number of serving routes and their average dwell time. The BusConnects Dublin Corridors will generally carry between 15 to 20 buses per hour at peak times, which equates to a bus every 3 minutes. Assuming a maximum dwell time of 1 minute it could be assumed that one bus stop will be sufficient in most cases. However, the spine corridors will have multiple branches joining at different points with buses running at different frequencies resulting in buses not running at a constant headway. Figure 7.1 below indicates a bus arrival scenario from the TFL Bus Stop Design Guideline which shows how buses may arrive at a stop. This shows the estimated volume of buses at a single bus stop, depending on the frequency of the respective services. For example, Scenario C shows that although there is a frequency of 26 buses per hour, the stop, would theoretically operate well below capacity, however the arrival pattern of buses means that at times more than one bus will be on the stop. For this reason, it would be recommended that this bus stopshould have sufficient space to board and alight two buses at once.



Figure 7.1 Bus Arrival Pattern at a Bus Stop (Source: TFL Bus Stop Design Guidance)

Detail on the buses using each corridor can be obtained from the NTA Remix site (obtain access from NTA IPO), or the frequency information from the BusConnects website. This can be used to make an estimate of the number of bays required at a bus stop by generating scenarios for the stops based on the headways for each route similar to Figure 7.1 above. These assessments will be superseded on completion of the micro-simulation analysis of each route, for this reason it is proposed to undertake this initial assessment based on the assumption that 2 bus bays will likely be required where there are between 25 and 30 buses on the route. This would require a longer bus cage that will accommodate two buses stopped simultaneously, approximately 24m in length (end to end bus), with Kassel Kerbs provided over its length to assist passengers, particularly those with a mobility impairment, to board and alight with ease from both buses.

| Number of Bays at | Where a Corridor is carrying approximately 25 to 30 buses or more per hour, |
|-------------------|---|
| a Bus Stop | consideration be given to lengthened the bus stop cage and kerbing to |
| | provide space for 2 buses stopping simultaneously. Independent arrival and |
| | departure is not required. |
| | |



Figure 7.2 Where space permits double bus bay should be provided where more than one bus is expected to arrive at a bus stop simultaneously (source: Google)

7.2 Passing Lanes

For corridors with large number of buses, particularly express buses that are not stopping at bus stops it may be necessary to provide a passing lane, or to indent the bus stop in a lay-by, to allow these faster moving buses to overtake the slower ones. This is likely to be particularly important on high capacity corridors where Regional Buses are accessing the City Centre. The TIAR Consultant has undertaken an initial assessment of this and have concluded that where the **hourly bus numbers exceed 40 the addition of a bus stop layby** will help maintain bus capacity and reliability along the corridor. The specific number for each corridor will be obtained from detailed microsimulation analysis at a later date.

| Requirements | for | Where a section of corridor is carrying approximately 40 to 50 buses or more |
|---------------|-----|--|
| passing Lanes | | an hour, consideration should be given to providing passing lanes at bus |
| | | stops. |
| | | |



Figure 7.3 In-line bus stops on a heavily used bus corridor can lead to express, or non-stopping buses, being delayed or making overtaking manoeuvres. (source: Dublin Bus Stuff).
8.0 Revisit Catchment Analysis

On completion of the review of bus stops along each corridor the catchment analysis for each corridor should be undertaken. The process was detailed in Section 4.0. The analysis should be undertaken and presented on a corridor basis with both Residential and Employment/Education population within 5 and 10 minutes presented.



Figure 8.1 Typical map of bus corridor catchment areas

8.1 Presentation of Review

For consistency it is recommended that this review is undertaken, and presented, on the PRO drawings. High-level comments can be listed against each stop with distance between stops also noted (Document 1).



Figure 8.2 Example Review of Bus Stop Locations (Source: ARUP, Rathfarnham CBC).

This document should then be followed by a recommended bus stop strategy (Document 2) for each corridor indicating where bus stop are to be located and that all variables have been considered for each stop. This should be in a similar drawing to the review drawing in Figure 8.2, but focused on those stops that have been altered from the original PRO drawings. A summary table for each corridor should be placed on the front drawing of the recommendations summarising the existing and proposed bus stop strategy:

| Corridor Name | | | |
|--|----------|-------------|---------|
| Number of Existing Bus Stops | | Length (KM) | |
| | Existing | Proposed | Comment |
| Average Spacing of Bus Stops (m) | | | |
| All stops located adjacent to a controlled crossing? | Y/N | Y/N | |
| Have all accessibility / spatial requirements and consultation suggestion been accommodated? | - | Y/N | |

Document 2 shall include a report providing specific details of each bus stop along a corridor and detailing the results of the catchment analysis for the optimised bus stops.



Appendix H2 Bus Stop Review Report









BusConnects Dublin Core Bus Corridor Infrastructure Works – Package B

Bus Stop Review

01/06/22

BCIDB



Jacobs Engineering Ireland Limited

Merrion House Merrion Road Dublin 4, D04 R2C5 Ireland T +353 1 269 5666 F +353 1 269 5497 www.jacobs.com

© Copyright 2022 Jacobs Engineering Ireland Limited. The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of Jacobs. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Jacobs constitutes an infringement of copyright.

Limitation: This document has been prepared on behalf of, and for the exclusive use of Jacobs' client, and is subject to, and issued in accordance with, the provisions of the contract between Jacobs and the client. Jacobs accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for, or in respect of, any use of, or reliance upon, this document by any third party.

Contents

| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| 2. | Methodology | 2 |
| 2.1 | Obtain Background Information | 2 |
| 2.2 | Bus Stop Catchment Analysis | 3 |
| 2.3 | Review Bus Stop Locations | 3 |
| 2.4 | Catchment Review | 4 |
| 3. | Route Summary1 | 7 |
| 4. | Conclusion 1 | 8 |

List of Acronyms

| Acronym | Definition |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| СВС | Core Bus Corridor |
| CBC's | Core Bus Corridors |
| GIS | Geographical Information System |

1. Introduction

This report presents a summary of the Bus Stop Review process which was conducted for the Proposed Scheme.

The purpose of the process was to review the location of the existing Bus Stops to determine whether a stop should be removed, relocated, or remain where it is. This exercise was carried out to optimize the performance of the bus services travelling along the route by reducing the journey time of the bus service, to increase the walking catchment of the bus stops and to ensure key trip attractors located along the route is sufficiently covered within the catchment of bus stops.

Existing bus stops were therefore rationalised based on best practice principles related to bus stop placement. The outcome of this study was to develop a more efficient route which would attract more passengers by creating a wider population catchment and offer a shorter journey time to destinations.

2. Methodology

The methodology followed as part of this review is set out in the 'Bus Stop Review Methodology Working Draft Report' produced by AECOM which is attached in Appendix C. It outlines the methodology to be followed for the bus stop reviews, the various considerations to be made when assessing a stop location, and the background reasoning for those considerations.

Figure 2.1 presents a flowchart which outlines the methodology proposed.

Each of the study components as outlined below are discussed in more detail in the remainder of this report and applied to the Proposed Scheme.



Figure 2.1: Bus Stop Review Methodology Flowchart

2.1 Obtain Background Information

In order to assess the bus stop locations with a variety of considerations in mind, certain key data was acquired, measured, or calculated. This information was compiled in a spreadsheet which can be found in **Appendix A**.

The background information obtained for the study along with the source of the information in **Table 2.1**.

| Information | Source |
|--|--|
| Stop Numbers for all inbound and outbound stops | Dublin Bus Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL) Data |
| along the route | |
| Stop Names | Dublin Bus AVL Data |
| Current Stop Location Coordinates | Google Maps (MyMaps .kml export) |
| Current distance to previous stop | Google Maps and Topographical Surveys (Measured) |
| Stop location as per PRO (relative to existing | PRO Design Drawings |
| location) | |
| PRO Distance to previous stop | PRO Design Drawings and Google Maps |
| Peak Boarding and alighting volumes and times | NTA |
| Future Buses per hour | SYSTRA |
| Current distance to junction / pedestrian crossing | Google Maps and Topographical Surveys (Measured) |
| PRO distance to junction / pedestrian crossing | PRO Design Drawings and Google Maps |
| Potential for interchange with Orbital Routes | BusConnects Revised Network Layout |

| Table 2.1: Background | information and sources |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|-----------------------|-------------------------|

2.2 Bus Stop Catchment Analysis

To develop a baseline against which any bus stop relocation recommendations can be tested, catchment analysis was conducted on both existing and proposed populations living and working within a 15-minute walk of existing bus stops. This analysis was carried out in GIS using Navteq mapping as the network dataset, along with the coordinates of the existing bus stop locations. The current and proposed catchment of both the inbound and the outbound bus stops are shown in 5-minute walking intervals up to 15 minutes in **Figures 2.2 to 2.7** below.

2.3 Review Bus Stop Locations

The locations of the bus stops were reviewed in accordance with the 'Bus Stop Review Methodology Working Draft Report' produced by AECOM.

Appendix A provides a table of features for each bus stop which was used to consider the possible relocation of each bus stop.

The main principles considered as part of the review are as follows:

- Aim to achieve a bus stop spacing of 400m in suburban locations, and 250m in urban centres
- Locate bus stop to nearest junction/pedestrian crossing;
- Locate stop downstream of junction rather than upstream;
- Consider space requirements to provide bus stop including shelter, waiting area, cycle lane and footpath provision and information displays;
- Review existing and proposed boarding & alighting volumes to determine the size of the bus stop;
- Potential interchange orbital bus services proposed as part of BusConnects with revised network

The above principles were considered in conjunction with examination of maps and aerial photography to determine whether a bus stop should remain where it is, relocated or removed.

If a bus stop was found to be spaced at an acceptable interval, located optimally in relation to a junction or pedestrian crossing, frequently used, and serving key land uses sufficiently, the decision was usually made to maintain it in its current position.

If it was found that access to a bus stop could be improved by relocating it to a better proximity to local features, the decision was made to move it. This would typically include cases where bus stops are currently upstream from a junction or crossing, or when the stop is not located optimally in terms of a catchment area or key land use access.

When a bus stop was found to be too close to a previous or following stop, the decision was made to either remove the bus stop or to consolidate it with another stop to obtain better spacing intervals.

2.4 Catchment Review

Following the review of the bus stop locations, the catchment analysis helped us to understand the impact of the changes on the bus network. The catchment population comparison tables present the number of residents and employees within each catchment zone for the existing and proposed bus stop locations, along with the difference between them. The catchment over the whole route was analysed as one zone, as assessing each stop individually would lead to much of the population being double counted.

Catchment maps were also generated for the route were also generated so that a visual review could be done to identify areas of improvement and areas that are serviced with no attraction or trip origin locations. This can be an iterative process to ensure as many of the population are within the catchment, while also trying to improve the efficiency of the stops to potentially reduce the number of stops along the route. The comparative maps give a good understanding of the improvement, as seen in **Figures 2.2 to 2.7**.

2.4.1.1 Liffey Valley to City Centre – 5min Catchments (Inbound and Outbound)



Figure 2.2a : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 5min Catchment



Figure 2.2b : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 5min Catchment



Figure 2.2c : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 5min Catchment



Figure 2.2d : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 5min Catchment



Figure 2.3a : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 5min Catchment



Figure 2.3b : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 5min Catchment



Figure 2.3c : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 5min Catchment



Figure 2.3d : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 5min Catchment



2.4.1.2 Liffey Valley to City Centre – 10min Catchments (Inbound and Outbound)

Figure 2.4a : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 10min Catchment



Figure 2.4b : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 10min Catchment



Figure 2.4c : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 10min Catchment



Figure 2.4d : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 10min Catchment





Figure 2.5a : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 10min Catchment



Figure 2.5b : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 10min Catchment



Figure 2.5c : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 10min Catchment



Figure 2.5d : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 10min Catchment



2.4.1.3 Liffey Valley to City Centre – 15min Catchments (Inbound and Outbound)

Figure 2.6a : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 15min Catchment



Figure 2.6b : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 15min Catchment





Figure 2.6c : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Inbound Bus Stop Catchment – 15min Catchment



Figure 2.7a : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 15min Catchment



Figure 2.7b : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 15min Catchment



Figure 2.7c : Liffey Valley to City Centre Existing and Proposed Outbound Bus Stop Catchment – 15min Catchment

Catchment Population Comparison Tables

The catchment comparison tables for the final locations can be seen in Tables 2.2 and 2.3

| | ES | TIMATED POPULAT | ION |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| STOPS | WITHIN 5 MIN. | WITHIN 10 MIN. | WITHIN 15 MIN. |
| Jacobs' Proposed Stops | 23884 | 51886 | 87494 |
| Existing Stops | 19946 | 50227 | 79582 |
| Difference | 3938 | 1659 | 7912 |

Table 2.2: Inbound Catchment Population Comparison

| | ESTIMATED POPULATION | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| STOPS | WITHIN 5 MIN. | WITHIN 10 MIN. | WITHIN 15 MIN. | | | | | | |
| Jacobs' Proposed | | | | | | | | | |
| Stops | 24572 | 53022 | 88421 | | | | | | |
| Existing Stops | 20536 | 50440 | 79756 | | | | | | |
| Difference | 4036 | 2582 | 8665 | | | | | | |

Table 2.3: Outbound Catchment Population Comparison

As can be seen in the tables above, there have been gains in population across the whole route. This is also done using less stops along the scheme. Areas where there were losses, were accepted as they were serviced by off scheme bus stops or forms of public transport, such as the LUAS.

3. Route Summary

Table 3.1 and 3.2 below provides an overview of the key changes to the locations for bus stops along the route. During the assessment, bus stops were removed in areas where they were too close and underutilised. Also, new stops were added to poorly serviced areas and attractions.

| Number of Existing Stops | 28 |
|--------------------------|----|
| Number of Stops Moved | 11 |
| Number of Stops Removed | 4 |
| Number of Stops Added | 2 |

Table 3.1: Liffey Valley to City Centre Inbound Bus Stop Summary

| Number of Existing Stops | 27 |
|--------------------------|----|
| Number of Stops Moved | 11 |
| Number of Stops Removed | 3 |
| Number of Stops Added | 2 |

Table 3.2: Liffey Valley to City Centre Outbound Bus Stop Summary

On the inbound route, eleven of the 28 bus stops are proposed to be moved. Four bus stops are proposed to be removed from the route, and two to be added, reducing the total number of inbound bus stops from 28 to 26.

On the outbound route, eleven of the 27 bus stops are proposed to be moved. Three bus stops are proposed to be removed, and two to be added, reducing the total number of outbound bus stops from 27 to 26.

In both cases, the number of stops was reduced while improving the catchment populations.

4. Conclusion

A bus stop review was carried out for the Proposed Scheme. The purpose of the exercise was to rationalise the bus stop locations to reduce the total journey duration of the route and to improve the catchment of the bus stops.

The study was carried out by reviewing key features of the inbound and outbound bus stops including location, proximity to junctions, road crossings and major land use attractions next to the route, existing and projected passenger volumes and local considerations such as space to provide shelters, waiting areas, footpath, and cycle routes.

As part of the exercise catchment analyses have been carried out to demonstrate the impact of the proposed recommendations. The results show that the catchment footprints along the routes have increased to some extent to include larger residential and employment populations. This is largely due to the improved spacing of the stops, and the fact that stops are positioned closer to intersections, causing the catchment area to spread further along the orbital roads.

It is recommended to relocate 11 of the 28 inbound and 11 of the 27 outbound bus stops along the route. In addition, it is proposed to remove 4 of the inbound bus stops and 3 of the outbound bus stops, but to add 2 new stops in both directions, such that in this case the number of stops on the Proposed Scheme will reduce from 55 to 52.

It is expected that the overall journey time along these routes will reduce as a result of these changes. The removal of stops will lead to less time lost due to dwell times at stops and the associated time lost due to deceleration and acceleration before and after the stops. Additionally, operational improvement such as the placement of stops after junctions should serve to reduce journey times.

Appendix A. Bus Stop Review Table

| Inbound | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | | Existing | | | Proposed | | | | | | | | |
| No. | Bus Stop No. | Chainage | | Distance from next Stop (m) | No. | Bus Stop No. | Cha | New Distance (between Stops) | | | | | |
| 1 | 1937 | В | 8970 | 276 | 1 | 1937 | В | 8970 | 276 | | | | |
| 2 | 1938 | В | 8694 | 257 | 2 | 1938 | В | 8694 | 257 | | | | |
| 3 | 1939 | В | 8437 | 347 | 3 | 1939 | В | 8437 | 347 | | | | |
| 4 | 1940 | В | 8090 | 243 | 4 | 1940 | В | 8090 | 243 | | | | |
| 5 | 1941 | В | 7847 | 381 | 5 | 1941 | В | 7847 | 381 | | | | |
| 6 | 1942 | В | 7466 | 266 | 6 | 1942 | В | 7466 | 266 | | | | |
| 7 | 1943 | В | 7200 | 358 | 7 | 1943 | В | 7200 | 358 | | | | |
| 8 | 1944 | В | 6842 | 400 | 8 | 1944 | В | 6842 | 420 | | | | |
| 9 | 1945 | B 6442 | | 459 | 9 | 1945 | В | 6422 | 439 | | | | |
| 10 | 1946 | B 5983 | | 113 | 10 | 1946 | В | 5983 | 243 | | | | |
| 11 | 1947 | B 5870 | | 434 | 11 | 1947 | В | 5740 | 325 | | | | |
| 12 | 2642 | В | 5436 | 200 | 12 | 2643 | E | 310 | 669 | | | | |
| 13 | 2643 | E | 310 | 430 | 13 | 2644 | В | 4360 | 550 | | | | |
| 14 | 2644 | В | 4387 | 425 | 14 | 2709 | B 3810 | | 425 | | | | |
| 15 | 2709 | В | 3962 | 445 | 15 | 2711 | В | 3385 | 455 | | | | |
| 16 | 2710 | В | 3517 | 137 | 16 | 2712 | В | 2930 | 325 | | | | |
| 17 | 2711 | В | 3380 | 280 | 17 | 4414 | D | 280 | | | | | |
| 18 | 2712 | В | 3100 | 500 | 18 | 2655 | В | 2605 | 380 | | | | |
| 19 | 4414 | D | 225 | 780 | 19 | 2656 | В | 2225 | 380 | | | | |
| 20 | 2655 | В | 2600 | 379 | 20 | 2668 | В | 1845 | 355 | | | | |
| 21 | 2656 | В | 2221 | 311 | 21 | 2672 | В | 1490 | 440 | | | | |
| 22 | 2668 | В | 1910 | 303 | 22 | 2206 | В | 1050 | 385 | | | | |
| 23 | 2672 | В | 1607 | 339 | 23 | 4798 | В | 665 | 315 | | | | |
| 24 | 2673 | В | 1268 | 179 | 24 | New | В | 350 | 290 | | | | |
| 25 | 2206 | В | 1089 | 424 | 25 | 2674 | В | 60 | 290 | | | | |
| 26 | 4798 | В | 665 | 605 | 26 | New | Α | 230 | | | | | |
| 27 | 2674 | В | 60 | 390 | | | Average | Distance: | 339 | | | | |
| | | Average | Distance: | 358 | | | | | | | | | |

| | Outbound | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Existing | | | Proposed | | | | | | | | | |
| No. | Bus Stop No. | Chainage | | Distance from next Stop (m) | No. | Bus Stop No. | Chainage | | New Distance (between Stops) | | | | | |
| 1 | 2686 | В | 88 | 297 | 1 | New | A 220 | | 291 | | | | | |
| 2 | 7510 | В | 385 | 445 | 2 | 2686 | В | 71 | 314 | | | | | |
| 3 | 4799 | В | 830 | 138 | 3 | 7510 | В | 385 | 290 | | | | | |
| 4 | 2205 | В | 968 | 285 | 4 | New | В | 675 | 365 | | | | | |
| 5 | 2687 | В | 1253 | 325 | 5 | 2205 | В | 1040 | 430 | | | | | |
| 6 | 2688 | В | 1578 | 288 | 6 | 2688 | В | 1470 | 370 | | | | | |
| 7 | 2689 | В | 1866 | 344 | 7 | 2689 | В | 1840 | 370 | | | | | |
| 8 | 2696 | В | 2210 | 380 | 8 | 2696 | В | 2210 | 380 | | | | | |
| 9 | 5007 | D | 220 | 300 | 9 | 5007 | D | 220 | | | | | | |
| 10 | 2697 | B 2590 | | 400 | 10 | 2697 | В | 2590 | 400 | | | | | |
| 11 | 2713 | В | 2990 | 360 | 11 | 2713 | В | 2990 | 325 | | | | | |
| 12 | 2714 | В | 3350 | 240 | 12 | 2714 | В | 3315 | 480 | | | | | |
| 13 | 2715 | В | 3590 | 450 | 13 | 2716 | В | 3795 | 345 | | | | | |
| 14 | 2716 | В | 4040 | 320 | 14 | 2718 | В | 4140 | 730 | | | | | |
| 15 | 2718 | В | 4360 | 536 | 15 | 2719 | E | 371 | 523 | | | | | |
| 16 | 2719 | E | 371 | 531 | 16 | 1989 | В | 5789 | 271 | | | | | |
| 17 | 1989 | В | 5789 | 298 | 17 | 1990 | В | 6060 | 440 | | | | | |
| 18 | 1990 | В | 6087 | 388 | 10 | 1992 | D | 6500 | 257 | | | | | |
| 10 | 1992 | P | 6475 | 202 | 10 | 1024841 | В | 0300 | 257 | | | | | |
| 19 | 1024841 | В | 0475 | 202 | 19 | 1993 | В | 6757 | 365 | | | | | |
| 20 | 1993 | В | 6757 | 365 | 20 | 1994 | В | 7122 | 463 | | | | | |
| 21 | 1994 | В | 7122 | 453 | 21 | 1995 | В | 7585 | 315 | | | | | |
| 22 | 1995 | В | 7575 | 316 | 22 | 1996 | В | 7900 | 200 | | | | | |
| 23 | 1996 | В | 7891 | 209 | 23 | 1997 | В | 8100 | 326 | | | | | |
| 24 | 1997 | В | 8100 | 326 | 24 | 1998 | В | 8426 | 263 | | | | | |
| 25 | 1998 | В | 8426 | 263 | 25 | 1999 | В | 8689 | 236 | | | | | |
| 26 | 1999 | В | 8689 | 236 | 26 | 2001 | В | 8925 | | | | | | |
| 27 | 2001 | В | 8925 | | | | Average | Distance: | 337 | | | | | |
| | | Average | Distance: | 325 | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | EXISTING Evicting Information | | | | | | Interaction with Junctions and Ped Crossings | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|-------|
| New or Existing Bus Stop | Inbound/Ou tbound | Bus Stop Name | Bus Stop No. | Chai | inage | Frequency between 6am-8am | Frequency between 8am-10am | No. of Buses/ Hr | Distance from next Stop (m) | Dwell Time (sec) - from AVL Data | Number of Passengers Boarding (Peak Hr) | Number of Passengers Alighting (Peak Hr) | Total (Boarding + Alighting) | Time to next stop (Peak) sec | Run Time with Dwell time (AM Peak Sec) | Run Time with Dwell time (PM Peak Sec) | Average Time to next stop (Off- Peak) sec | Before/ After the Junction (in the direction of travel) | Bus Stop Distance from nearest Junction (m) | Distance to Pedestrian Crossing (m) | Route |
| New | Inbound | Liffey Valley Retail Park | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Existing | Inbound | Sports Club | 2686 | В | 88 | 7.21 | 6.29 | 3.38 | 297 | 7.64 | 10 | 8 | 18 | 25.75 | 33.39 | 31.55 | 24.4 | After | 89 | 66.5 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Cloverhill Road | 7510 | В | 385 | 4.4 | 4.61 | 2.25 | 445 | 9.36 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 74.4 | 83.76 | 87.65 | 57.92 | Before | 110 | 121.1 | |
| New | Inbound | Coldcut Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Existing | Inbound | Ballyfermot Road | 4799 | В | 830 | 7.22 | 6.48 | 3.43 | 138 | 20.16 | 21 | 8 | 29 | 20.9 | 41.06 | | 14.05 | After | 69 | 70.5 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Cherry Orchard Hospital | 2205 | В | 968 | 7.22 | 6.49 | 3.43 | 285 | 10.33 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 32.1 | 42.43 | 29.85 | 27.87 | After | 212 | 45.8 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Cherry Orchard Industrial Estate | 2687 | В | 1253 | 6.76 | 6.8 | 3.39 | 325 | 5.50 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 40.85 | 46.35 | 34.85 | 27.23 | Before | 55 | 75.5 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Cleggen Park | 2688 | В | 1578 | 6.81 | 6.74 | 3.39 | 288 | 12.60 | 11 | 9 | 20 | 65.08 | 77.68 | 51.69 | 41.6 | | 0 | 86.5 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Blackditch Drive | 2689 | В | 1866 | 6.81 | 6.71 | 3.38 | 344 | 14.64 | 25 | | 25 | 79.25 | 93.89 | 71.59 | 50.06 | After | 106 | 123 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Ballyfermot Road | 2696 | В | 2210 | 4.49 | 4.46 | 2.24 | 380 | 26.52 | 26 | 21 | 47 | 77.46 | 103.98 | 100.94 | 59.04 | After | 103 | 34.3 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Convent Lawns | 5007 | D | 220 | | | | 300 | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | |
| Existing | Inbound | Ballyfermot Parade | 2697 | В | 2590 | 5.25 | 5.88 | 2.78 | 400 | 32.37 | 58 | 38 | 96 | 130.76 | 163.13 | 186.09 | 60.76 | After | 42 | 46.5 | |
| Existing | Inbound | St. Raphael's National School | 2713 | В | 2990 | 10.17 | 9.64 | 4.95 | 360 | 20.94 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 40.8 | 61.74 | 45.41 | 31.46 | After | 121 | 30 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Markiewicz Park | 2714 | В | 3350 | 10.17 | 9.64 | 4.95 | 240 | 11.78 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 30.33 | 42.11 | 37.59 | 24.79 | After | 43 | 122 | |
| Existing | Inbound | O'Hogan Road | 2715 | В | 3590 | 10.17 | 9.71 | 4.97 | 450 | 14.65 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 53.08 | 67.73 | 51.21 | 37.06 | After | 34.6 | 13.5 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Sarsfield Road | 2716 | В | 4040 | 10.17 | 9.71 | 4.97 | 320 | 16.30 | 11 | 6 | 17 | 46.02 | 62.32 | 44.12 | 32.06 | Before | 135 | 267 | |
| Existing | Inbound | St. Mary's Avenue | 2718 | в | 4360 | 4.94 | 6.12 | 2.77 | 536 | 30.33 | 37 | 10 | 47 | 96.81 | 127.14 | 120.89 | 58.88 | Before | 32 | 51 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Woodfield Place | 2719 | E | 371 | 6.42 | 7.11 | 3.38 | 531 | 21.64 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 135.9 | 157.54 | 160.88 | 82.23 | After | 65 | 71 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Camac Close | 1989 | В | 5789 | 6.39 | 4.89 | 2.82 | 298 | 49.13 | 70 | 11 | 81 | 39.14 | 88.27 | 64.22 | 33.62 | Before | 34 | 17.4 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Myra Cottages | 1990 | В | 6087 | 7.88 | 8.64 | 4.13 | 388 | 18.31 | 12 | 11 | 23 | 55.35 | 73.66 | 52.02 | 34.5 | After | 73 | 50.5 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Inchicore Library | 1992 | В | 6475 | 7.56 | 8.93 | 4.12 | 282 | 45.04 | 28 | 14 | 42 | 92.92 | 137.96 | 108.43 | 57.56 | After | 90 | 75 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Emmet Road | 1024841 | | | 0.83 | 1.07 | 0.48 | | | | | 0 | 85.49 | 85.49 | | | | | | |
| Existing | Inbound | Old Kilmlainham | 1993 | В | 6757 | 7.56 | 8.93 | 4.12 | 365 | 12.39 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 119.07 | 131.46 | 51.49 | 32.27 | Before | 31 | 184 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Mount Brown | 1994 | В | 7122 | 7.52 | 8.96 | 4.12 | 453 | 13.06 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 103.26 | 116.32 | 65.96 | 40.67 | Before | 240 | 116 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Basin Street Lower | 1995 | В | 7575 | 7.24 | 9.25 | 4.12 | 316 | 33.50 | 34 | 27 | 61 | 32.72 | 66.22 | 115.53 | 48.62 | After | 58 | 158.6 | G |
| Existing | Inbound | James Street | 1996 | В | 7891 | 7.24 | 9.25 | 4.12 | 209 | 18.82 | 15 | 11 | 26 | 68.13 | 86.95 | 47.85 | 22.85 | | 0 | 20 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Thomas Street | 1997 | В | 8100 | 7.24 | 9.25 | 4.12 | 326 | 12.29 | 14 | 10 | 24 | | 12.29 | 84.41 | 48.19 | After | 39 | 31.7 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Bridgefoot Street | 1998 | В | 8426 | 7.12 | 9 | 4.03 | 263 | 31.43 | 28 | 28 | 56 | 85.95 | 117.38 | 114.8 | 52.75 | Before | 55 | 33 | |
| Existing | Inbound | Francis Street Junction | 1999 | В | 8689 | 7.04 | 8.29 | 3.83 | 236 | 22.96 | 14 | 19 | 33 | 71.28 | 94.24 | 106.2 | 45.54 | After | 38 | 30.1 | |
| Existing | Inbound | High Street | 2001 | В | 8925 | 6.7 | 9 | 3.93 | | 11.26 | 21 | 9 | 30 | 114.42 (AM) / 128.49 (PM) | 125.68 | 140.19 | | Before | 80 | 79 | G1 |

| etwork Redesign |
|-------------------------------|
| that stop at each bus stop |
| |
| G2, S4. 80 |
| G2, S4. 80 |
| |
| |
| S4, G2 |
| S4, G1, G2, 60 |
| 60 |
| S4, G1, G2 |
| G1, G2 |
| G1, G2 |
| G1, G2 |
| G1, G2 |
| G1, G2, 60 |
| G1, G2, 60 |
| G1, G2, 58 |
| G1, G2 |
| G1, G2 |
| |
| G1, G2 |
| G1, G2 |
| , G2, 73, S2, LUAS |
| G1, G2, 73 |
| G2, 23, 24, 87, 73 |

| | | Inbound Bus Stop Review Outcome | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Inbound/Ou tbound | Bus Stop Name | Bus Stop Treatment | No. Bus Bays | Catchment | Permeability | Bus Shelter | Type of Bus Stop Island or Shared Bus Stop Landing | Design Rational | | | |
| Inbound | Liffey Valley Retail Park | New | Single | N/A | Improves permeability to Retail Park | New Standard bus shelter proposed | Island Bus Stop | New stop needed to reduce distance between stops and improve connection to the Liffey Valley Retail Park | | | |
| Inbound | Sports Club | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Cloverhill Road | Retain | Single | No Change | A path to Palmers Drive would increase permeability | New Standard bus shellter proposed | Island Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Coldcut Road | New | Single | No Change | No change | New Standard bus shellter proposed | Island Bus Stop | New stop needed to improve stop spacing | | | |
| Inbound | Ballyfermot Road | Removed | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Island Bus Stop | Stop to be removed to improve spacing between stops, due to the proximity of adjacent stops on at Cherry Orchard Hospital | | | |
| Inbound | Cherry Orchard Hospital | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | Stop moved to improve spacing due to the removal of adjacent stops | | | |
| Inbound | Cherry Orchard Industrial Estate | Removed | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | Stop to be removed to improve spacing between stops, due to the proximity of adjacent stops on at Cherry Orchard Hospital | | | |
| Inbound | Cleggen Park | Relocated | Single | Increased | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | Move stop down stream of the junction | | | |
| Inbound | Blackditch Drive | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | Pedestrian crossing may be moved closer to improve permeability | | | |
| Inbound | Ballyfermot Road | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared Bus Stopl anding | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Convent Lawns | Retain | Single | No Change | Improves access to bus services from Rossmore Road | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Ballyfermot Parade | Retain | Single | Increased | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | St. Raphael's National School | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Markiewicz Park | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | Relocated to facilitate future development | | | |
| Inbound | O'Hogan Road | Removed | Single | Increased | No change | Standard bus shelter | | Stop Removed to improve spacing | | | |
| Inbound | Sarsfield Road | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | Stop Moved to St. Laurence Road to improve spacing | | | |
| Inbound | St. Mary's Avenue | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | Stop Moved to opposite side of First Avenue to improve spacing. Increased distance to next stop acceptable due to lack of attractors or trip origins | | | |
| Inbound | Woodfield Place | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | No Shelter | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location. Not enough room on the footway to locate a shelter. Private garden with low wall also located directly behind the footway. | | | |
| Inbound | Camac Close | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Myra Cottages | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Inchicore Library | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard Bus shelter | Inline Bus Stop | Stop moved to facilitate residential parking | | | |
| Inbound | Emmet Road | Removed | Single | No Change | No change | Standard Bus shelter | Inline Bus Stop | Stop to be removed to improve spacing between stops | | | |
| Inbound | Old Kilmlainham | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Mount Brown | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Basin Street Lower | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Inline Bus Stop | Relocated to avoid accesses | | | |
| Inbound | James Street | Relocated | Single | Slight reduction, lost users are on Greenhills route | No change | Standard Bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Thomas Street | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard Bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Bridgefoot Street | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | Francis Street Junction | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard Bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Inbound | High Street | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |

| | | | | | | | | Propsoed | Inbound Bus Stop | os | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|----------|--|--|---|--|---|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Inbound/Ou tbound | Bus Stop Name | New or Existing Bus Stop | Distance New Distance (between Stops) | (| Thainage | Interaction with Before/ After the Junction (in the direction of travel) | Bus Stop Distance from nearest Junction (m) | Distance to Pedestrian Crossing (m) | Ne Routes that stop at each bus stop | etwork Redesign Trip attactor | Cycle Parking | Lay-by or Onstreet Bus Stop |
| Inbound | Liffey Valley Retail Park | New | 291 | А | 220 | After | 50 | 40 | S4, W2, 80 | Liffey Valley ShoppingCentre | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Sports Club | Existing | 314 | В | 71 | After | 71 | 40 | G2, S4. 80 | Sports Club | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Cloverhill Road | Existing | 290 | В | 385 | Before | 90 | 80 | G2, S4. 80 | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Coldcut Road | New | 365 | В | 675 | Before | 70 | 48 | G2, S4. 80 | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Ballyfermot Road | Existing | | | | | | | | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Cherry Orchard Hospital | Existing | 430 | В | 1040 | After | 52 | 20 | S4, G2 | Cherry Orchard Hospital, Cherry Orchard Inductrial Estate | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Cherry Orchard Industrial Estate | Existing | N/A | | | Before | 55 | | | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Cleggen Park | Existing | 370 | В | 1470 | Before | 40 | 54 | S4, G2 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Blackditch Drive | Existing | 370 | В | 1840 | After | 75 | 30 | S4, G2 | Ballyfermot Community Centre | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Ballyfermot Road | Existing | 380 | В | 2210 | After | 95 | 95 | S4, G1, G2, 60 | Local Shops | твс | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Convent Lawns | Existing | | D | 220 | | | >100 | 60 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Ballyfermot Parade | Existing | 400 | В | 2590 | After | 35 | 35 | S4, G1, G2 | Ballyfermot village centre | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | St. Raphael's National School | Existing | 325 | В | 2990 | After | 94 | 30 | G1, G2 | St. Raphael's National School | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Markiewicz Park | Existing | 480 | В | 3315 | After | 0 | 40 | G1, G2 | Markiewicz Park | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Inbound | O'Hogan Road | Existing | N/A | | | | | | | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Sarsfield Road | Existing | 345 | В | 3795 | Before | 42 | 25 | G1, G2 | Longmeadows Pitch and Putt | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | St. Mary's Avenue | Existing | 730 | В | 4140 | Before | 40 | >100 | G1, G2 | Longmeadows GAA Club | Yes | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Woodfield Place | Existing | 523 | E | 371 | After | 65 | 70 | G1, G2, 60 | Inchicore National School | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Camac Close | Existing | 271 | В | 5789 | Before | 40 | 10 | G1, G2, 58 | | твс | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Myra Cottages | Existing | 440 | В | 6060 | After | 50 | 45 | G1, G2 | Richmond Park | твс | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Inchicore Library | Existing | 257 | В | 6500 | Before | 90 | 36 | G1, G2 | Inchicore Library, Kilmainham Goal | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Emmet Road | | | | | | | | | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Old Kilmlainham | Existing | 365 | В | 6757 | Before | 31 | 70 | G1, G2 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Mount Brown | Existing | 463 | В | 7122 | Before | 78 | >100 | G1, G2 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Basin Street Lower | Existing | 315 | В | 7585 | After | 58 | 25 | G1, G2, 73, O, S2, LUAS | St. James's Hospital | TBC | Onstreet |
| Inbound | James Street | Existing | 200 | В | 7900 | After | 74 | 13 | G1, G2, 73 | Guinness Store House | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Thomas Street | Existing | 326 | В | 8100 | After | 35 | 20 | G1, G2, 73 | | твс | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Bridgefoot Street | Existing | 263 | В | 8426 | After | 47 | 30 | G1, G2, 73 | | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Inbound | Francis Street Junction | Existing | 236 | В | 8689 | Before | 30 | 15 | G1, G2, 73 | | твс | Onstreet |
| Inbound | High Street | Existing | | В | 8925 | After | 87 | 85 | G1, G2, 23, 24, 87, 73 | | ТВС | Onstreet |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | EXISTING | | | | • | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----|---------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ex | isting Informati | on | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | Interaction wi | th Junctions and | Ped Crossings | Network Redesign |
| New or Existing Bus Stop | Inbound/Out bound | Bus Stop Name | Bus Stop No. | Ch | nainage | Frequency between 6am-8am | Frequency between 8am-10am | No. of Buses/ Hr | Distance from next Stop (m) | Dwell Time (sec) - from AVL Data | Number of Passengers Boarding (Peak Hr) | Number of Passengers Alighting (Peak Hr) | Total (Boarding + Alighting) | Time to next stop (Peak) sec | Run Time with Dwell time (AM Peak Sec) | Run Time with Dwell time (PM Peak Sec) | Average Time to next stop (Off- Peak) sec | Before/ After the Junction (in the direction of travel) | Bus Stop Distance from nearest Junction (m) | Distance to Pedestrian Crossing (m) | Routes that stop at each bus stop |
| Existing | Outbound | High Street | 1937 | В | 8970 | 2 | 2.25 | 1.06 | 276 | 12.49 | 14 | 10 | 24 | 40.83 (AM) | 50.55 | | 37.16 | Before | 93 | 67.4 | G1, G2, 23, 24, 87, 73 |
| Existing | Outbound | Thomas Street | 1938 | В | 8694 | 9.04 | 9.25 | 4.57 | 257 | 21.27 | 15 | 55 | 70 | 70.06 | 79.22 | 91.33 | 52.3 | Before | 44 | 22.1 | G1, G2, 73 |
| Existing | Outbound | Bridgefoot Street | 1939 | В | 8437 | 9.32 | 8.93 | 4.56 | 347 | 29.87 | 30 | 17 | 47 | 80.1 | 91.97 | 109.97 | 50.55 | After | 43 | 34.7 | G1, G2, 73 |
| Existing | Outbound | James Street | 1940 | В | 8090 | 8.95 | 8.69 | 4.41 | 243 | 10.23 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 38.89 | 43.55 | 49.12 | 27 | After | 38 | 40 | G1, G2, 73 |
| Existing | Outbound | Steven's Lane | 1941 | В | 7847 | 8.81 | 8.95 | 4.44 | 381 | 15.44 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 76.34 | 90.93 | 91.78 | 51.97 | After | 52 | 67 | G1, G2, 73 |
| Existing | Outbound | St. James Hospital | 1942 | В | 7466 | 9.29 | 8.89 | 4.55 | 266 | 26.19 | 21 | 17 | 38 | 42.05 | 56.06 | 68.24 | 30.38 | After | 43 | 67 | G1, G2, 73, S2, LUAS |
| Existing | Outbound | Mount Brown | 1943 | В | 7200 | 9.57 | 8.89 | 4.62 | 358 | 10.51 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 173.23 | 43.3 | 183.74 | 35.47 | After | 48 | 43.4 | G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Old Kilmlainham | 1944 | В | 6842 | 9.57 | 8.89 | 4.62 | 400 | 30.09 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 234.14 | 104.33 | 264.23 | 76.11 | After | 93 | 265 | G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Emmet Road | 1945 | В | 6442 | 9.14 | 9.68 | 4.71 | 459 | 17.82 | 13 | 16 | 29 | 58.97 | 67.37 | 76.79 | 49.8 | Before | 41 | 107.5 | G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Richmond Park | 1946 | В | 5983 | 6.81 | 6.79 | 3.40 | 113 | 17.37 | 17 | 8 | 25 | 24.09 | 29.87 | 41.46 | 18.04 | After | 37 | 39 | G1, G2, 58 |
| Existing | Outbound | Camac Close | 1947 | В | 5870 | 6.79 | 6.6 | 3.35 | 434 | 25.7 | 19 | 24 | 43 | 138.51 | 145.3 | 164.21 | 85.39 | After | 54 | 77.5 | G1, G2, 58 |
| Existing | Outbound | Grattan Crescent | 2642 | В | 5436 | 5.61 | 5.75 | 2.84 | 200 | 12.13 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 34.53 | 48.56 | 46.66 | 30.95 | Before | 130 | 70 | G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Sarsfield Road | 2643 | E | 310 | 6.75 | 6.61 | 3.34 | 430 | 12.6 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 53.12 | 63.99 | 65.72 | 48.31 | After | 53 | 115 | G1, G2, 60 |
| Existing | Outbound | Sarsfield Medical Centre | 2644 | В | 4387 | 5.83 | 5.31 | 2.79 | 425 | 20.74 | 7 | 19 | 26 | 52.96 | 63.95 | 73.7 | 45.13 | After | 118 | 88 | G1, G2, 60 |
| Existing | Outbound | Longmeadows | 2709 | В | 3962 | 9.29 | 9.71 | 4.75 | 445 | 10.91 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 48.9 | 53.27 | 59.81 | 41.64 | Before | 47 | 377 | G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Ballyfermot Road | 2710 | В | 3517 | 8.57 | 10.43 | 4.75 | 137 | 12.9 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 18.14 | 26.84 | 31.04 | 14.7 | After | 65 | 57.3 | G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Markiewicz Park | 2711 | В | 3380 | 8.57 | 10.36 | 4.73 | 280 | 9.66 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 38.35 | 39.18 | 48.01 | 30.34 | After | 221 | 147 | G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | St. Raphael's National School | 2712 | В | 3100 | 8.57 | 10.36 | 4.73 | 500 | 10.28 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 104.78 | 97.4 | 115.06 | 67.27 | Before | 33 | 125 | G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Convent Lawns | 4414 | D | 225 | | | | 780 | | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | | | 60 |
| Existing | Outbound | Ballyfermot Parade | 2655 | В | 2600 | 3.86 | 4.33 | 2.05 | 379 | 33.58 | 54 | 30 | 84 | 159.98 | 106.43 | 193.56 | 69.97 | After | 122 | 12.5 | S4, G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Ballyfermot | 2656 | В | 2221 | 4.74 | 5.06 | 2.45 | 311 | 32.14 | 38 | 23 | 61 | 135.43 | 80.56 | 167.57 | 41.76 | After | 100 | 135.6 | S4, G1, G2, 60 |
| Existing | Outbound | Ballyfermot Community Centre | 2668 | В | 1910 | 9.29 | 10.5 | 4.95 | 303 | 19.18 | 4 | 13 | 17 | 178.25 | 54.42 | 197.43 | 43.99 | After | 35 | 154.5 | S4, G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Cleggan Park | 2672 | В | 1607 | 6.58 | 7.23 | 3.45 | 339 | 22.44 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 81.5 | 64.71 | 103.94 | 34.91 | Before | 53 | 126.6 | S4, G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Cherry Orchard Industrial Estate | 2673 | В | 1268 | 6.26 | 7.55 | 3.45 | 179 | 7.79 | 5 | 14 | 19 | 65.17 | 63.23 | 72.96 | 32.5 | Before | 128 | 64 | S4, G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Cherry Orchard Hospital | 2206 | В | 1089 | 6.2 | 7.25 | 3.36 | 424 | 4.24 | 2 | 17 | 19 | 95.78 | 34.74 | 100.02 | 31.19 | After | 87 | 65.5 | S4, G1, G2 |
| Existing | Outbound | Coldcut Road | 4798 | В | 665 | 2.77 | 2.75 | 1.38 | 605 | 22.15 | 14 | 11 | 25 | 292.93 | 91.39 | 315.08 | 65.45 | After | 87 | 82.7 | S4, G1, G2, 80 |
| New | Outbound | Cloverhill Road | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | | | |
| Existing | Outbound | Dublin Bus Sports | 2674 | В | 60 | 8.64 | 10.71 | 4.84 | 390 | 15.49 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 80.05 | | 95.54 | | After | 68 | 54.7 | S4, G1, G2, 80 |
| New | Outbound | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | | | |

| | | Outbound Bus Stop Review Outcome | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Inbound/Ou tbound | Bus Stop Name | Bus Stop Treatment | No. Bus Bays | Catchment | Permeability | Bus Shelter | Type of Bus Stop Island or Shared Bus Stop Landing | Design Rational | | | |
| Outbound | High Street | Retain | Single | Increased | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Thomas Street | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Bridgefoot Street | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | James Street | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Steven's Lane | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | St. James Hospital | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Mount Brown | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Old Kilmlainham | Retain | Single | Slight reduction, lost users are closer to LUAS Rialto stop | No change | Standard bus shelter | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Emmet Road | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Inline Bus Stop | Relocated to front of library to allow parking outside private residences | | | |
| Outbound | Richmond Park | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Camac Close | Relocated | Single | Reduction, lost users are closer to Drimnagh LUAS Stop | No change | Standard bus shelter | Inline Bus Stop | Relocated to provide interchange between routes | | | |
| Outbound | Grattan Crescent | Removed | Single | Increased | No change | Standard bus shelter | Inline Bus Stop | Stop to be removed to improve spacing between stops | | | |
| Outbound | Sarsfield Road | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Inline Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location. | | | |
| Outbound | Sarsfield Medical Centre | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | Stop moved to improve spacing. Increased distance to last stop acceptable due to lack of trip origins and destination in the area | | | |
| Outbound | Longmeadows | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Shared bus stop landing | Stop moved to improve spacing | | | |
| Outbound | Ballyfermot Road | Removed | Single | Increased | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | Stop to be removed to improve spacing between stops | | | |
| Outbound | Markiewicz Park | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | St. Raphael's National School | Relocated | Single | Increased | No change | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Shared bus stop landing | Stop moved to improve spacing | | | |
| Outbound | Convent Lawns | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | New Standard bus shelter proposed | Island Bus Stop | To prevent buses stopping on both sides blocking traffic | | | |
| Outbound | Ballyfermot Parade | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Ballyfermot | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Ballyfermot Community Centre | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | Stop moved to improve spacing and avoid accesses to private residences | | | |
| Outbound | Cleggan Park | Relocated | Single | Increased | No change | Standard bus shelter | Shared bus stop landing | Stop moved to improve spacing | | | |
| Outbound | Cherry Orchard Industrial Estate | Removed | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | Stop to be removed to improve spacing between stops, due to the proximity of adjacent stops on at Cherry Orchard Hospital | | | |
| Outbound | Cherry Orchard Hospital | Relocated | Single | No Change | No change | New Standard bus shelter proposed | Island Bus Stop | Relocated to improve distance to pedestrian crossing and inbound stop | | | |
| Outbound | Coldcut Road | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | Cloverhill Road | New | Single | No Change | A path linking palmers drive would improve permeability | Bus Shelter with no end panels | Shared bus stop landing | New stop to improve spacing | | | |
| Outbound | Dublin Bus Sports | Retain | Single | No Change | No change | Standard bus shelter | Island Bus Stop | No Issue with existing stop location | | | |
| Outbound | | New | Single | No Change | No change | New Standard bus shelter proposed | Island Bus Stop | New stop needed to reduce distance between stops and improve connection to the Liffey Valley Retail Park | | | |

| | | Propsoed Outbound Bus Stops | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|---------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | Distance | | Interaction with | n Junctions and | Ped Crossings | N | etwork Redesign | | |
| Inbound/Ou tbound | Bus Stop Name | New or Existing Bus Stop | New Distance (between Stops) | Chainage | Before/ After the Junction (in the direction of travel) | Bus Stop Distance from nearest Junction (m) | Distance to Pedestrian Crossing (m) | Routes that stop at each bus stop | Trip attactor | Cycle Parking | Lay-by or Onstreet Bus Stop |
| Outbound | High Street | Existing | 276 | B 8970 | After | 60 | 60 | G1, G2, 23, 24, 87, 73 | | твс | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Thomas Street | Existing | 257 | в 8694 | Before | 44 | 28 | G1, G2, 73 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Bridgefoot Street | Existing | 347 | B 8437 | Before | 50 | 35 | G1, G2, 73 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | James Street | Existing | 243 | B 8090 | Before | 50 | 35 | G1, G2, 73 | Guinness Store House | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Steven's Lane | Existing | 381 | B 7847 | Before | 45 | 70 | G1, G2, 73 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | St. James Hospital | Existing | 266 | B 7466 | After | 43 | 60 | G1, G2 | St. James's Hospital | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Mount Brown | Existing | 358 | B 7200 | After | 150 | 40 | G1, G2 | | твс | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Old Kilmlainham | Existing | 420 | в 6842 | After | 38 | 10 | G1, G2 | National Childrens Hospital | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Emmet Road | Existing | 439 | B 6422 | Before | 83 | >100 | G1, G2 | | твс | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Richmond Park | Existing | 243 | B 5983 | After | 37 | 45 | G1, G2, 58 | Richmond Park | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Camac Close | Existing | 325 | B 5740 | Before | 20 | 50 | G1, G2, 58 | | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Grattan Crescent | Existing | | | | | | | | твс | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Sarsfield Road | Existing | 669 | E 310 | After | 53 | >100 | G1, G2, 60 | Inchicore National School | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Sarsfield Medical Centre | Existing | 550 | B 4360 | Before | 30 | 60 | G1, G2, 60 | Longmeadoews GAA Club | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Longmeadows | Existing | 425 | B 3810 | After | 25 | 20 | G1, G2 | Longmeadows Pitch and Putt | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Ballyfermot Road | Existing | N/A | | | | | | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Markiewicz Park | Existing | 455 | B 3385 | Before | 60 | 20 | G1, G2 | Markiewicz Park | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Outbound | St. Raphael's National School | Existing | 325 | B 2930 | Before | 50 | 25 | G1, G2 | St. Rapheals National School | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Convent Lawns | Existing | | D 280 | | | 63 | 60 | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Ballyfermot Parade | Existing | 380 | B 2605 | After | 34 | 30 | S4, G1, G2 | Ballyfermot Village Centre | ТВС | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Ballyfermot | Existing | 380 | B 2225 | After | 135 | >100 | S4, G1, G2, 60 | Local Shops | Yes | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Ballyfermot Community Centre | Existing | 355 | B 1845 | After | 85 | 30 | S4, G2, 60 | Ballyfermot Community Centre | Yes | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Cleggan Park | Existing | 440 | B 1490 | After | 20 | 30 | \$4, G2 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Cherry Orchard Industrial Estate | Existing | N/A | | | | | S4, G2 | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Cherry Orchard Hospital | Existing | 385 | B 1050 | Before | 58 | 33 | S4, G2 | Cherry Orchard Hospital, Cherry Orchard Induatrial Estate | Yes | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Coldcut Road | Existing | 315 | B 665 | After | 87 | 55 | S4, G2, 80 | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Cloverhill Road | New | 290 | B 350 | After | 119 | >100 | S4, G2, 80 | | TBC | Onstreet |
| Outbound | Dublin Bus Sports | Existing | 290 | B 60 | Before | 72 | 50 | S4, G2, 80 | | Yes | Onstreet |
| Outbound | | New | | A 230 | After | 70 | 35 | S4, W2, 80 | Liffey Valley Shopping Centre | Yes | Onstreet |



Appendix B. Bus Stop Catchment Maps





| | Ashtown Carp enter stown roll N4 Palmer sto PHOENIX P.IRK Chape izod Islan obridge Gon als town Fox & Geese Con dalkin Con dalkin Bittebell Crumin Greenhills Kimmage |
|-----------------------|--|
| | Legend |
| | Proposed Inbound Bus Stop |
| and the second second | Evisting Inhound Bus Ston |
| | |
| | Proposed Pedestrian Crossing |
| | Attractor |
| | Proposed Stops 05 min. Catchments Limit |
| I15 | Existing Stops 05 min. Catchments Limit |
| | Proposed Core Bus Corridor |
| | Estimated Population in Building |
| 1 La | • 2 - 10 |
| ter | • 11 - 30 |
| | |
| + | |
| 1-1-1 | |
| rkst | |
| ailway Av | |
| a, | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| d | |
| - | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| de la | |
| 'Dua | 0 50 100 200 300 400 500 m |
| | BAR SCALE |
| 194 | Project Title BUSCONNECTS Core Bus Corridore |
| hc | Drawing Title |
| | Proposed Inbound Stops 05min. Catchment |
| JB | Drawing File Reference Sheet Number Status Rev |
| | \\gbion0vs01\GISProj\B3111200_BusConnects\10 - GIS\Working\MCh\BusCatchments Liffey Valley 2 of 4 DRAFT 00 |



| | Ashtown Dromco Cabra |
|----------------|--|
| Park | Chapeizod Islandbridg Inchicore Dolphins Barn Brueben Crumin Harolds |
| John's Rd | Fox & Geese Cross N Ballymount Cross Rathgar Greenhills Kimmage Mi |
| | Legend |
| | Proposed Inbound Bus Stop |
| ^ | Existing Inbound Bus Stop |
| bow in V | Proposed Pedestrian Crossing Attractor |
| | Auracior Proposed Stops 05 min. Catchments |
| | Limit |
| | Existing Stops 05 min. Catchments Limit |
| | Proposed Core Bus Corridor |
| es's bo | Estimated Population in Building |
| | • 2 - 10 |
| E | • 11 - 30 |
| HEFE | • >30 |
| 77 | |
| Anthon | |
| Saint | |
| Ľ | |
| | |
| ~ / | |
| \mathcal{A} | |
| | |
| nive | |
| ton | |
| 110 | |
| | 0 50 400 000 400 500 50 |
| | 0 50 100 200 300 400 500 m |
| | BAR SCALE |
| | Project Title BUSCONNECTS Core Bus Corridors |
|)DS | Drawing Title Proposed Inhound Stops 05min Catchmont |
| Approved JB | |
| | Drawing File Reference Vplochowill(ISISPV(ISI)): GaSPV(ISI):120 BuContects(1) - GISVWorking(MCh)BucCatchments Lifley Valley 2006/BucCatchments Lifley Valley Laochaptr |


